Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/568,152	DOLINA ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zinna Northington Davis	1625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representat	ive, PTO personnel):	
(1) Zinna Northington Davis.	(3)	
(2) Sunil Raval.	(4)	

Date of Interview: 15/19 January 2010 .

Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all .

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim? will be cancelled. Claims 1.4.8.12, and 16 will be amended. The nonelected subject matter will be reloined. The necessary chances will be made by Examiner's Amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) t is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04), if a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action

Examiner's signature, if required

## Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, wideo conference, or lelephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whereir or chi an apprent with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an inferview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be fled by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §5.1.111, 1.135. (35.U.S.C. 132)

## 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transaction in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention with the paid to any alleged and promone, speciation, or understanding an existence of writing the property of the patent of world.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the Sature to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates the or she will do so, it is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directive on the custom of optimization.

Examines must complete an interview Gumman Fore for each interview held where a malter of substance has been discussed during the interview by creating the appropriate boars and their the third in Commission regarded by procedural matters, where each only to record and the complete procedural matters and examined the complete procedural matters and examined the complete procedure of the commission of the

The interview state of the interview of

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Senes Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
  - Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
   An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted.
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
- not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.

  The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action).

It is destable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of the for brighten to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees bethe destable examiner. We also be examiner agrees to examine agrees to excord the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or an antiactriment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate both at the bottom of the appropriate the production of the examiner and applicant to the Form is not interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the Interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
  - A binef description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
     an identification of the claims discussed.
  - an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.

accurate the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

- (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or obthorate. A verbaline or highly distalled describtion of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sudicined if the general instance of these of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the content of the application like. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe flower arrunners within the or she best were or might be precisased to the examiner.)
- a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
   if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by

the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date must the examiner's initials.